

REMARKS

In response to the Decision, dated August 1, 2006 claim 1 has been amended to further distinguish over the newly cited reference to Daikoku and emphasize both the differences in the types of machines involved and specifically the respective facing flat surfaces of the pole teeth and magnets.

Although the reference refers to flat facing surfaces in the embodiment of FIG. 13, FIGS. 14A through 14C clearly show the magnets to be curved and like the other embodiments as seen for example in FIGS 9A and 9B both the cores and magnets have facing curved not flat surfaces. In addition this reference teaches separate motor control circuits each of which cooperates with a respective face of magnets, quite a different type of machine from that claimed here.

The dependent claims retained all recite machine configurations quite unlike those shown in the now relied upon reference.

Favorable reconsideration is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted:



Ernest A. Beutler
Reg. No. 19901

Phone (949) 721-1182
Pacific Time